arXiv:1502.05254v2 [math.OA] 29 Jun 2015

IMPLICIT/INVERSE FUNCTION THEOREMS FOR FREE NONCOMMUTATIVE FUNCTIONS

GULNARA ABDUVALIEVA AND DMITRY S. KALIUZHNYI-VERBOVETSKYI

Abstract. We prove an implicit function theorem and an inverse function theorem for free noncommutative functions over operator spaces and on the set of nilpotent matrices. We apply these results to study dependence of the solution of the initial value problem for ODEs in noncommutative spaces on the initial data and to extremal problems with noncommutative constraints.

1. Introduction and Statements of the Results

1.1. **Free NC functions.** A free noncommutative (nc) function is a mapping defined on the set of matrices of all sizes which respects direct sums and similarities, or equivalently, respects intertwinings. Examples include but are not limited to nc polynomials, power series, and matrix-valued rational expressions. The theory of free nc functions was first introduced in the articles of Joseph L. Taylor [23, 24]. It was further developed by D.-V. Voiculescu [25, 26] for the needs of free probability. Various aspects of nc functions(1) have been studied by Helton [7], Helton, Klep, and McCullough [8, 9], Helton and McCullough [10], Helton and Putinar [11], Popescu [20, 21], Muhly and Solel [16], Agler and McCarthy [2, 3], Agler and Young [4], the second author and Vinnikov[12, 14], and others.

In the book of the second author and Victor Vinnikov [13], the theory has been put on a systematic foundation. The nc difference-differential calculus has been developed for studying various questions of nc analysis; in particular, the classical (commutative) theory of analytic functions was extended to a nc setting. It has been established that very mild assumptions of local boundedness of nc functions imply analyticity.

We provide the reader with some basic definitions from [13]. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a unital commutative ring. For a module $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{R}$, we define the *nc space over* $\mathcal{M}$,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rm nc} := \coprod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}^{n\times n}. \tag{1.1}$$

A subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\rm nc}$ is called a *nc set* if it is closed under direct sums; that is, denoting $\Omega_n = \Omega \cap \mathcal{M}^{n\times n}$, we have

$$X \in \Omega_n, Y \in \Omega_m \Longrightarrow X \oplus Y := \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{bmatrix} \in \Omega_{n+m}. \tag{1.2}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47J07; 17A50; 46L07; 16N40.

Key words and phrases. Free noncommutative functions; implicit function theorem; inverse function theorem; operator spaces; nilpotent matrices.

The authors were partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0901628. The second author was also partially supported by US-Israel BSF grant 2010432.

(1) Here and in the rest of the paper we will omit the word "free" for short.

Matrices over $\mathcal{R}$ act from the right and from the left on matrices over $\mathcal{M}$ by the standard rules of matrix multiplication: if $T \in \mathcal{R}^{r\times p}$ and $S \in \mathcal{R}^{p\times s}$, then for $X \in \mathcal{M}^{p\times p}$ we have

$$TX \in \mathcal{M}^{r\times p}, \quad XS \in \mathcal{M}^{p\times s}.$$

In the special case where $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{R}^d$, we identify matrices over $\mathcal{M}$ with d-tuples of matrices over $\mathcal{R}$:

$$(\mathcal{R}^d)^{p\times q} \cong (\mathcal{R}^{p\times q})^d.$$

Under this identification, for d-tuples $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_d) \in (\mathcal{R}^{n\times n})^d$ and $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_d) \in (\mathcal{R}^{m\times m})^d$, their direct sum has the form

$$X \oplus Y = \left(\begin{bmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & Y_1 \end{bmatrix}, \ldots, \begin{bmatrix} X_d & 0 \\ 0 & Y_d \end{bmatrix} \right) \in (\mathcal{R}^{(n+m)\times(n+m)})^d;$$

and for a d-tuple $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_d) \in (\mathcal{R}^{p\times p})^d$ and matrices $T \in \mathcal{R}^{r\times p}$, $S \in \mathcal{R}^{p\times s}$,

$$TX = (TX_1, \ldots, TX_d) \in (\mathcal{R}^{r\times p})^d, \qquad XS = (X_1S, \ldots, X_dS) \in (\mathcal{R}^{p\times s})^d;$$

that is, T and S act on d-tuples of matrices componentwise.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be modules over $\mathcal{R}$, and let $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\rm nc}$ be a nc set. A mapping

$$f : \Omega \to \mathcal{N}_{\rm nc}$$

with the property that $f(\Omega_n) \subseteq \mathcal{N}^{n\times n}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, is called a *nc function* if f satisfies the following two conditions:

$$\text{(1.3)} \qquad f \textit{ respects direct sums: } f(X \oplus Y) = f(X) \oplus f(Y), \quad X, Y \in \Omega;$$

$$\text{(1.4)} \qquad f \textit{ respects similarities: } \text{if } X \in \Omega_n \text{ and } S \in \mathcal{R}^{n\times n} \text{ is invertible with } SXS^{-1} \in \Omega_n, \text{ then } f(SXS^{-1}) = Sf(X)S^{-1},$$

or, equivalently, satisfies the single condition:

$$\text{(1.5)} \qquad f \textit{ respects intertwinings}: \text{ if } X \in \Omega_n, Y \in \Omega_m, \text{ and } T \in \mathcal{R}^{n\times m} \text{ are such that } XT = TY, \text{ then } f(X)T = Tf(Y).$$

We will say that a nc set $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\rm nc}$ is *right admissible* if for all $X \in \Omega_n$, $Y \in \Omega_m$, and all $Z \in \mathcal{M}^{n\times m}$ there exists an invertible $r \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & rZ \\ 0 & Y \end{bmatrix} \in \Omega_{n+m}.$$

Next we define the right nc difference-differential operator Δ_R. Let a nc set Ω be right admissible, and let f be a nc function on Ω, then for every $X \in \Omega_n$, $Y \in \Omega_m$, and $Z \in \mathcal{M}^{n\times m}$, and for an invertible $r \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} X & rZ \\ 0 & Y \end{smallmatrix}\right] \in \Omega_{n+m}$ we define first $\Delta_R f(X, Y)(rZ)$ as the (1, 2) block of the matrix $f\left(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} X & rZ \\ 0 & Y \end{smallmatrix}\right]\right)$, and then

$$\text{(1.6)} \qquad \Delta_R f(X, Y)(Z) = r^{-1}\Delta_R f(X, Y)(rZ).$$

By [13, Proposition 2.2], $\Delta_R f(X, Y)(Z)$ is well defined. By [13, Propositions 2.4, 2.6], $\Delta_R f(X, Y)(\cdot)\colon \mathcal{M}^{n\times m} \to \mathcal{N}^{n\times m}$ is a linear mapping.

Analogously, one can define the left nc difference-differential operator Δ_L via evaluations of nc functions on block lower triangular matrices. For our purposes, it suffices to consider only the "right" version of the theory.

By [13, Theorem 2.11], if $f\colon \Omega \to \mathcal{N}_{\rm nc}$ is a nc function on a right admissible nc set Ω, then for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, arbitrary $X \in \Omega_n$, $Y \in \Omega_m$, and $S \in \mathcal{R}^{n\times m}$ we have

$$\text{(1.7)} \qquad Sf(X) - f(Y)S = \Delta_R f(X, Y)(SX - YS).$$

In particular [13, Theorem 2.10]), if $m = n,\ S = I_n$, then

$$f(X) - f(Y) = \Delta_R f(X, Y)(X - Y). \tag{1.8}$$

Thus Δ_R plays the role of a nc finite difference operator of the first order.

When $\mathcal{R}$ is a field, $\mathcal{M}^{n\times n}$ and $\mathcal{N}^{n\times n}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ are topological vector spaces, and f is continuous, we have that $\Delta_R f(Y, Y)$ is the differential of f at Y.

By [13, Propositions 2.15, 2.17, 3.2], $\Delta_R f(X, Y)(\cdot)$ as a function of X and Y respects direct sums and similarities, or equivalently, respects intertwinings. That is, if $f\colon \Omega \to \mathcal{N}_{\rm nc}$ is a nc function on a right admissible nc set $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\rm nc}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_R f(X' \oplus X'', Y' \oplus Y'')\left(\begin{bmatrix} Z'^{,\prime} & Z'^{,\prime\prime} \\ Z''^{,\prime} & Z''^{,\prime\prime}\end{bmatrix}\right) \\ = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_R f(X', Y')(Z'^{,\prime}) & \Delta_R f(X', Y'')(Z'^{,\prime\prime}) \\ \Delta_R f(X'', Y')(Z''^{,\prime}) & \Delta_R f(X'', Y'')(Z''^{,\prime\prime})\end{bmatrix}\end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

for n', $m' \in \mathbb{N}$, n'', $m'' \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $X' \in \Omega_{n'}$, $X'' \in \Omega_{n''}$, $Y' \in \Omega_{n'}$, $Y'' \in \Omega_{n''}$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} Z'^{,\prime} & Z'^{,\prime\prime} \\ Z''^{,\prime} & Z''^{,\prime\prime}\end{smallmatrix}\right] \in \mathcal{M}^{(n'+n'')\times(m'+m'')}$, with block entries of appropriate sizes, and if either n'' or m'' is 0, then the corresponding block entries are void;

$$\Delta_R f(TXT^{-1}, SYS^{-1})(TZS^{-1}) = T\Delta_R f(X, Y)(Z)S^{-1} \tag{1.10}$$

for n, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $X \in \Omega_n$, $Y \in \Omega_m$, $Z \in \mathcal{M}^{n\times m}$, and invertible $T \in \mathcal{R}^{n\times n}$, $S \in \mathcal{R}^{m\times m}$ such that $TXT^{-1} \in \Omega_n$, $SXS^{-1} \in \Omega_m$; or equivalently,

$$TX = \tilde{X}T,\ YS = S\tilde{Y}\ \Rightarrow T\Delta_R f(X, Y)(Z)S = \Delta_R f(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})(TZS) \tag{1.11}$$

for n, $\tilde{n}$, m, $\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{N}$, $X \in \Omega_n$, $\tilde{X} \in \Omega_{\tilde{n}}$, $Y \in \Omega_m$, $\tilde{Y} \in \Omega_{\tilde{m}}$, $Z \in \mathcal{M}^{n\times m}$, and $T \in \mathcal{R}^{\tilde{n}\times n}$, $S \in \mathcal{R}^{m\times\tilde{m}}$. Thus, for a nc function $f\colon \Omega \to \mathcal{N}_{\rm nc}$ where $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\rm nc}$, $\Delta_R f(X, Y)(\cdot)$ is a function of two arguments X and Y (for all n, $m \in \mathbb{N}$) with values linear mappings $\mathcal{M}^{n\times m} \to \mathcal{N}^{n\times m}$ that respects direct sums and similarities.

For $\mathcal{M}_0$, $\mathcal{M}_1$, $\mathcal{N}_0$, $\mathcal{N}_1$ modules over a unital commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$, and $\Omega^0 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{0,\rm nc}$, $\Omega^1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{1,\rm nc}$ nc sets, we define a *nc function of order 1* to be a function on $\Omega^0 \times \Omega^1$ so that for $X^0 \in \Omega^0_{n_0}$ and $X^1 \in \Omega^1_{n_1}$,

$$f(X^0, X^1)\colon \mathcal{N}_1^{n_0\times n_1} \to \mathcal{N}_0^{n_0\times n_1}$$

is a linear mapping, and that f respects direct sums and similarities in each argument. This class of nc functions of order 1 is denoted by $\mathcal{T}^1(\Omega^{(0)}, \Omega^{(1)}; \mathcal{N}_{0,\rm nc}, \mathcal{N}_{1,\rm nc})$. We also denote by $\mathcal{T}^0(\Omega; \mathcal{N}_{\rm nc})$ the class of nc functions (of order 0) $f\colon \Omega \to \mathcal{N}_{\rm nc}$. It turns out that for $f \in \mathcal{T}^0(\Omega; \mathcal{N}_{\rm nc})$, one has $\Delta_R f \in \mathcal{T}^1(\Omega, \Omega; \mathcal{N}_{\rm nc}, \mathcal{M}_{\rm nc})$.

More generally, one can define *nc functions of order k*. Let $\mathcal{M}_0, \dots, \mathcal{M}_k$, $\mathcal{N}_0, \dots, \mathcal{N}_k$ be modules over a unital commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$. Let $\Omega^0 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{0,\rm nc}, \dots, \Omega^k \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{k,\rm nc}$ be nc sets. A nc function of order k is a function of $k + 1$ arguments on $\Omega^0 \times \dots \times \Omega^k$ so that for $X^0 \in \Omega^0_{n_0}, \dots, X^k \in \Omega^k_{n_k}$,

$$f(X^0, \dots, X^k)\colon \mathcal{N}_1^{n_0\times n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{N}_k^{n_{k-1}\times n_k} \to \mathcal{N}_0^{n_0\times n_k}$$

is a k-linear mapping, and that f respects direct sums and similarities in each argument in a way similar to (1.9) – (1.11). This class of nc functions of order k is denoted as $\mathcal{T}^k(\Omega^{(0)}, \dots, \Omega^{(k)}; \mathcal{N}_{0,\rm nc}, \dots, \mathcal{N}_{k,\rm nc})$.

One extends Δ_R to an operator from $\mathcal{T}^k$ to $\mathcal{T}^{k+1}$ for all k. Similarly to the case $k = 0$, it is done by evaluating a nc function of order $k(> 0)$ on a $(k + 1)$-tuple of square matrices with one of the arguments block upper triangular. We have therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_R\colon \mathcal{T}^k(\Omega^{(0)},\dots\Omega^{(k)};\mathcal{N}_{0,\mathrm{nc}},\dots\mathcal{N}_{k,\mathrm{nc}})&\\ \to \mathcal{T}^{k+1}(\Omega^{(0)},\dots\Omega^{(k)},\Omega^{(k)};\mathcal{N}_{0,\mathrm{nc}},\dots\mathcal{N}_{k,\mathrm{nc}},\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{nc}}),&\end{aligned}$$

for $k=0,1,\dots$. Iterating this operator ℓ times, we obtain the *ℓ-th order nc difference-differential operator*

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_R^\ell\colon &\mathcal{T}^k(\Omega^{(0)},\dots\Omega^{(k)};\mathcal{N}_{0,\mathrm{nc}},\dots\mathcal{N}_{k,\mathrm{nc}})\\ &\to \mathcal{T}^{k+1}(\Omega^{(0)},\dots\Omega^{(k)},\underbrace{\Omega^{(k)},\dots,\Omega^{(k)}}_{\ell\ \text{times}};\mathcal{N}_{0,\mathrm{nc}},\dots\mathcal{N}_{k,\mathrm{nc}},\underbrace{\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{nc}},\dots,\mathcal{M}_{k,\mathrm{nc}}}_{\ell\ \text{times}}),\end{aligned}$$

for $k=0,1,\dots$. According to the definition, $\Delta_R^\ell f$ is calculated iteratively by evaluating nc functions of increasing orders on 2×2 block upper triangular matrices at each step. It turns out [13, Theorems 3.11, 3.12] that $\Delta_R^\ell f$ can also be calculated in a single step by evaluating f on $(\ell+1)\times(\ell+1)$ block upper bidiagonal matrices. If $f:\Omega\to\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{nc}}$ is a nc function (of order 0), where $\Omega\subseteq\mathcal{M}_{nc}$ is a right admissible nc set, and if $X^0,\dots,X^\ell\in\Omega$, then

$$\Delta_R^\ell f(X^0,\dots,X^\ell)(Z^1,\dots,Z^\ell)\quad:=\quad f\left(\begin{bmatrix} X^0 & Z^1 & 0 & \dots & 0\\ 0 & X^1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots\\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & Z^\ell\\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & X^\ell\end{bmatrix}\right)_{1,\ell+1}$$

extends to a ℓ-linear mapping in $Z^1,\dots,Z^\ell$.

The following theorem [13, Theorem 4.1]) derives an nc analogue of the Taylor formula, which is called the Taylor–Taylor (TT) formula after Brook Taylor and Joseph L. Taylor.

Theorem 1.1 (The Taylor–Taylor Formula). *Let $f\in\mathcal{T}^0(\Omega;\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{nc}})$ with $\Omega\subseteq\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{nc}}$ a right admissible nc set, $n\in\mathbb{N}$, and $Y\in\Omega_s$. Then for each $N\in\mathbb{N}$, and $X\in\Omega_s$,*

$$\begin{aligned}f(X)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{N}\Delta_R^\ell f\,\underbrace{(Y,\dots,Y)}_{\ell+1\ \text{times}}\underbrace{(X-Y),\dots,(X-Y)}_{\ell\ \text{times}}&\\ +\Delta_R^{N+1}f\,\underbrace{(Y,\dots,Y,X)}_{N+1\ \text{times}}\underbrace{(X-Y),\dots,(X-Y)}_{N+1\ \text{times}}.&\end{aligned}\tag{1.12}$$

1.2. **The setting of operator spaces.** Next we give the definition of an operator space; see [6], [19], [18], and [22]. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field, $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$. A vector space $\mathcal{W}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ is called an *operator space* if a sequence of Banach–space norms $\|\cdot\|_n$ on $\mathcal{W}^{n\times n}$, $n=1,2,\dots$, is defined so that the following two conditions hold:

1. For every $n,m\in\mathbb{N}$, $X\in\mathcal{W}^{n\times n}$, and $Y\in\mathcal{W}^{m\times m}$,

$$\|X\oplus Y\|_{n+m}=\max\{\|X\|_n,\|Y\|_m\};\tag{1.13}$$

2. For every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $X\in\mathcal{W}^{n\times n}$, and $S,T\in\mathbb{F}^{n\times n}$,

$$\|SXT\|_n\le\|S\|\,\|X\|_n\|T\|,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the $(2,2)$ operator norm on $\mathbb{F}^{n\times n}$.

Let $\mathcal{W}$ be an operator space. For $Y \in \mathcal{W}^{s\times s}$ and $r > 0$, define a *nc ball centered at Y of radius r* as

$$B_{\rm nc}(Y,r) \;:=\; \coprod_{m=1}^{\infty} B\Big(Y^{(m)},r\Big) \;=\; \coprod_{m=1}^{\infty} \Big\{X \in \mathcal{W}^{sm\times sm}\colon\; \Big\|X - Y^{(m)}\Big\|_{sm} < r\Big\},$$

where $Y^{(m)} := \bigoplus_1^m Y \cong I_m \otimes Y$. Clearly, nc balls are nc sets. By [13, Proposition 7.12], the nc balls form a basis for a topology on $\mathcal{W}_{\rm nc}$. This topology is called the uniformly-open topology. Open sets in the uniformly-open topology on $\mathcal{W}_{\rm nc}$ are called *uniformly open*. Notice that uniformly open nc sets are right admissible.

Let $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}$ be operator spaces, and let $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\rm nc}$ be a uniformly open nc set. A nc function $f\colon \Omega \to \mathcal{W}_{\rm nc}$ is called *uniformly locally bounded* if for any $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{V}^{s\times s}$ there exists $r > 0$ such that $B_{\rm nc}(Y,r) \subseteq \Omega$ and f is bounded on $B_{\rm nc}(Y,r)$, i.e., there is $M > 0$ such that $\|f(X)\|_{sm} < M$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X \in B_{\rm nc}(Y,r)_{sm}$. A nc function $f\colon \Omega \to \mathcal{W}_{\rm nc}$ is called *uniformly analytic* if f is uniformly locally bounded and Gateaux (G-)differentiable. A nc function $f\colon \Omega \to \mathcal{W}_{nc}$ is called G-differentiable if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the function $f|_{\Omega_n}$ is G-differentiable, i.e., for every $X \in \Omega_n$ and $Z \in \mathcal{V}^{n\times n}$ the G-derivative of f at X in the direction Z,

$$\delta f(X)(Z) = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{f(X+tZ) - f(X)}{t} = \frac{d}{dt} f(X+tZ)\Big|_{t=0},$$

exists. We note that $\delta f(X)(Z) = \Delta_R f(X,X)(Z)$, see [13, Theorem 7.2]. Theorem 1.2 below [13, Corollary 7.26]) states that a uniformly locally bounded nc function is uniformly analytic, and its TT series converges uniformly and absolutely on uniformly open nc balls.

We will use the following notations. By $\odot_s$ we denote the multiplication of matrices over the tensor algebra

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{M}^{s\times s}) = \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{M}^{s\times s})^{\otimes \ell}$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is a module over a unital commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$. That is, if

$$X \in \big[(\mathcal{M}^{s\times s})^{\otimes \ell}\big]^{n\times m} \cong \mathcal{M}^{s^\ell n \times s^\ell m} \;\text{ and }\; Y \in \big[(\mathcal{M}^{s\times s})^{\otimes r}\big]^{m\times p} \cong \mathcal{M}^{s^r m\times s^r p},$$

then we have

$$\Big(X \odot_s Y\Big)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} X_{ik} \otimes Y_{kj} \in (\mathcal{M}^{s\times s})^{\otimes(\ell+r)}$$

so that

$$X \odot_s Y \in \Big[(\mathcal{M}^{s\times s})^{\otimes(\ell+r)}\Big]^{n\times p} \cong \mathcal{M}^{s^{\ell+r} n \times s^{\ell+r} p}.$$

We will identify multilinear forms $g\colon (\mathcal{M}^{s\times s})^{\ell} \to \mathcal{N}^{s\times s}$ with linear mappings $g\colon (\mathcal{M}^{s\times s})^{\otimes \ell} \to \mathcal{N}^{s\times s}$, and write $(Z^1 \odot_s \cdots \odot_s Z^\ell)g := g(Z^1, \ldots, Z^\ell)$. We then extend g to matrices A over $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{M}^{s\times s})$ by defining $g(A)_{ij} = g(A_{ij})$ so that

$$g\colon\; \big[(\mathcal{M}^{s\times s})^{\otimes \ell}\big]^{m\times m} \to (\mathcal{N}^{s\times s})^{m\times m} \cong \mathcal{N}^{sm\times sm}.$$

In particular, the terms in the TT series centered at $Y \in \mathcal{M}^{s\times s}$ are written as

$$\Big(X - Y^{(m)}\Big)^{\odot_s \ell} \Delta_R^{\ell} f \underbrace{(Y, \ldots, Y)}_{\ell+1 \text{ times}} = \Delta_R^{\ell} f \underbrace{(Y, \ldots, Y)}_{\ell+1 \text{ times}} \underbrace{\Big(X - Y^{(m)}, \ldots, X - Y^{(m)}\Big)}_{\ell \text{ times}},$$

where $X \in \mathcal{M}^{sm\times sm}$; see [13, Chapter 4] for details.

Theorem 1.2. [13, Corollary 7.26] *Let a nc function $f\colon \Omega \to \mathcal{W}_{\rm nc}$ be uniformly locally bounded. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $Y \in \Omega_s$, and let*

$$\delta := \sup\{r > 0\colon f \text{ is bounded on } \mathrm{B_{nc}(Y, r)}\}.$$

Then

$$f(X) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left(X - Y^{(m)}\right)^{\odot_s \ell} \Delta_R^{\ell} f \underbrace{(Y, \dots, Y)}_{\ell+1 \text{ times}}$$

holds, with the TT series convergent absolutely and uniformly on every nc ball $B_{\rm nc}(Y, r)$ with $r < \delta$. Moreover,

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}, X\in B_{\rm nc}(Y,r)_{sm}} \left\| \left(X - Y^{(m)}\right)^{\odot_s \ell} \Delta_R^{\ell} f \underbrace{(Y, \dots, Y)}_{\ell+1 \text{ times}} \right\|_{sm} < \infty.$$

Let $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ be operator spaces. For an operator $A\colon \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{W}$ we set $A^{(n)} = \mathrm{id}_n \otimes A$, where $\mathrm{id}_n : \mathbb{F}^{n\times n} \to \mathbb{F}^{n\times n}$ is the identity operator, i.e., $\mathrm{id}_n X = X$, so that $A^{(n)}$ can be identified with an operator from $\mathcal{V}^{n\times n}$ to $\mathcal{W}^{n\times n}$ as follows

$$\left[A^{(n)}(X)\right]_{ij} = A(X_{ij}).$$

We say that A is completely bounded if $\left\|A^{(n)}\right\| \le C$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and a constant C is independent of n.

The space of completely bounded operators A from $\mathcal{V}$ to $\mathcal{W}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$, where the norm of A is given by $\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{W})} = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \left\|A^{(n)}\right\|$.

Our main results in the setting of operator spaces are the following two theorems.

Theorem 1.3 (Implicit nc function theorem)**.** *Let $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$, and $\mathcal{Z}$ be operator spaces over the field $\mathbb{F}$, $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, and Ω a uniformly open nc set in $(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})_{\rm nc}$. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(X^0, Y^0) \in \Omega_s$. Let $F\colon \Omega \to \mathcal{Z}_{\rm nc}$ be a nc function satisfying the following conditions:*

1. $F(X^0, Y^0) = 0$.
2. *F is continuous at (X^0, Y^0) with respect to the uniformly–open topologies on $(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})_{\rm nc}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\rm nc}$.*
3. $$\delta^Y F(X^0, Y^0) \in \mathcal{L}_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{Y}^{s\times s}, \mathcal{Z}^{s\times s})$$
   is invertible and
   $$\delta^Y F(X^0, Y^0)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{Z}^{s\times s}, \mathcal{Y}^{s\times s}),$$
   where
   $$\delta^Y F(X^0, Y^0)(Z) = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{F(X^0, Y^0 + tZ) - F(X^0, Y^0)}{t}.$$

Then:

I. *There exist α, $\beta > 0$ such that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$,*
$$B(X^{0(m)}, \alpha) \times B(Y^{0(m)}, \beta) \subset \Omega_{sm}.$$

II. *There exists a nc function $f\colon B_{\rm nc}(X^0, \alpha) \to B_{\rm nc}(Y^0, \beta)$, such that*
   (a) *For $(X, Y) \in B(X^{0(m)}, \alpha) \times B(Y^{0(m)}, \beta)$,*
   $$F(X, Y) = 0 \text{ if and only if } Y = f(X).$$
   (b) *f is uniformly analytic on $B_{\rm nc}(X^0, \alpha)$.*

III. *For every* $X \in B_{\rm nc}(X^0, \alpha)$, *the operator* $\delta^X F(X, f(X))$ *has a completely bounded inverse, and*

$$\delta f(X) = -\Big(\delta^Y F(X, f(X))\Big)^{-1} \delta^X F(X, f(X)),$$

where

$$\delta^X F(X,Y)(Z) = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{F(X+tZ,Y)-F(X,Y)}{t}.$$

Theorem 1.4 (Inverse nc function theorem). *Let* $\mathcal{X}$ *and* $\mathcal{Y}$ *be operator spaces, and* Ω^Y *a uniformly open nc set in* $\mathcal{Y}_{\rm nc}$. *Let* $s \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $Y^0 \in \Omega^Y_s$. *Let* $g\colon \Omega^Y \to \mathcal{X}_{\rm nc}$ *be a nc function satisfying the following conditions:*

1. g *is uniformly analytic on* Ω^Y,
2. $\delta g(Y^0)$ *is invertible and* $(\delta g(Y^0))^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{X}^{s\times s}, \mathcal{Y}^{s\times s})$.

Then there exist a uniformly open nc neighborhood Δ *of* Y^0 *in* $\mathcal{Y}_{\rm nc}$, *and a uniformly open nc neighborhood* Γ *of* $X^0 = g(Y^0)$ *in* $\mathcal{X}_{\rm nc}$ *such that*

I. *The mapping* $g|_\Delta\colon \Delta \to \Gamma$ *is a homeomorphism.*

II. *The mapping* $f\colon \Gamma \to \Delta$, *the inverse of* g, *is a uniformly analytic nc function, and* $\delta f(X) = \Big(\delta g(f(X))\Big)^{-1}$ *for every* $X \in \Gamma$.

We note that in the case where each of the matrices X^0 and Y^0 are multiple copies of a single matrix, i.e., $X^0 = X_*^{(N)}$ and $Y^0 = Y_*^{(N)}$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, using the methods from [1] one can extend the underlying nc functions F and g in Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 to nc functions $\widetilde{F}$ and $\widetilde{g}$ defined on some neighborhood of (X_*, Y_*) (resp., of Y_*), even in the case where the domain $\Omega_{s/N}$ (resp., $\Omega^Y_{s/N}$) is empty, together with the assumptions of the theorems. Then the conclusions of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 can be extended accordingly. We leave the details to the reader.

1.3. **The setting of nilpotent matrices.**

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a module over a unital commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$. For n, $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}; n, \kappa)$ the set of $n \times n$ matrices X over $\mathcal{M}$ which are *nilpotent of rank at most* κ, i.e., $X^{\odot \ell} = 0$ for all $\ell \ge \kappa$. Here $\odot = \odot_1$. The set of all nilpotent $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathcal{M}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}; n) = \bigcup_{\kappa=1}^\infty \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}; n, \kappa)$, and the set of all nilpotent matrices over $\mathcal{M}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}) = \coprod_{n=1}^\infty \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}; n)$.

For $Y \in \mathcal{M}^{s\times s}$, we denote by $\mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, Y; sm, \kappa)$ the set of matrices $X \in \mathcal{M}^{sm\times sm}$ that are *nilpotent about* Y *of rank at most* κ, i.e., $\Big(X - Y^{(m)}\Big)^{\odot_s \ell} = 0$ for all $\ell \ge \kappa$, where s, m, and $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$. In other words, $X \in \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, Y; sm, \kappa)$ means that $X - Y^{(m)} \in \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}^{s\times s}; m, \kappa)$. Clearly, $\mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}; n, \kappa) = \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, 0; n, \kappa)$. We will also use the corresponding notations:

$$\mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, Y; sm) = \bigcup_{\kappa=1}^\infty \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, Y; sm, \kappa)$$

for the set of $sm \times sm$ matrices nilpotent about Y, and

$$\mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, Y) = \coprod_{m=1}^\infty \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, Y; sm)$$

for the set of nilpotent matrices about Y.

Notice that $\mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, Y) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{nc}}$ is a right admissible nc set, and $\mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, Y)_{sm} = \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, Y; sm)$.

A nc function on $\mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, Y)$ is a sum of its TT series.

Theorem 1.5. [13, Theorem 5.6] *Let $Y \in \mathcal{M}^{s\times s}$ and let $f\colon \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, Y) \to \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{nc}}$ be a nc function. Then for all $X \in \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, Y; sm)$*

$$f(X) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \Big(X - Y^{(m)}\Big)^{\odot_s \ell} \Delta_R^{\ell} f\,\underbrace{(Y, \dots, Y)}_{\ell+1 \text{ times}}, \tag{1.14}$$

where the sum has finitely many nonzero terms.

It follows from [13, Theorem 5.6] that $f(X) \in \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{N}, f(Y))$ for $X \in \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, Y)$.

Our main results in the setting of nilpotent matrices are the following two theorems.

Theorem 1.6 (Implicit nc function theorem)**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{N}$, and $\mathcal{O}$ be modules over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$, and $X^0 \in \mathcal{M}^{s\times s}$, $Y^0 \in \mathcal{N}^{s\times s}$ for $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $F\colon \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}, (X^0, Y^0)) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{nc}}$ be a nc function satisfying the following conditions:*

1. $F(X^0, Y^0) = 0$.
2. $\Delta_R^Y F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0)) \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{N}^{s\times s}, \mathcal{O}^{s\times s})$ *is invertible. Here*

$$\Delta_R^Y F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0))(Z) := \Delta_R F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0))(0, Z).$$

Then:

- I. *There exists a nc function $f\colon \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0) \to \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{N}, Y^0) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{nc}}$ such that*
  - *(a)* $X \in \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0) \iff (X, f(X)) \in \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}, (X^0, Y^0))$.
  - *(b)* $F(X, Y) = 0 \iff Y = f(X)$.
- II. *For any $X \in \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0)$, the linear mapping $\Delta_R^Y F((X, f(X)), (X, f(X)))$ is invertible, and*

$$\Delta_R f(X, X)(Z) = -\Big(\Delta_R^Y F((X, f(X)), (X, f(X)))\Big)^{-1} \cdot \Delta_R^X F\Big((X, f(X)), (X, f(X))\Big)(Z),$$

where $\Delta_R^X F((X, Y), (X, Y))(Z) := \Delta_R F((X, Y), (X, Y))(Z, 0)$.

Theorem 1.7 (Inverse nc function theorem)**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{N}$ be modules over a unital commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$, and $Y^0 \in \mathcal{N}^{s\times s}$. Let $g\colon \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{N}, Y^0) \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{nc}}$ be a nc function such that $\Delta_R g(Y^0, Y^0)$ is invertible. Then:*

- I. *The mapping $g\colon \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{N}, Y^0) \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{nc}}$ is one-to-one and* $g(\mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{N}, Y^0)) = \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0)$.
- II. *The inverse of g, $f := g^{-1}$, is a nc function mapping* $\mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0))$ *onto* $\mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{N}, Y^0)$.
- III. *$\Delta_R g(f(X), f(X))$ is invertible for every $X \in \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0)$, and*

$$\Delta_R f(X, X) = \Big(\Delta_R g(f(X), f(X))\Big)^{-1}.$$

1.4. **Remarks.** Similar theorems have been proved by Pascoe [17] and by Agler and McCarthy [3]. In [17], an inverse function theorem has been established for a nc function g on a general nc set Ω closed under similarities under the assumption that $\Delta_R g$ is invertible *everywhere* on Ω. However, the conclusions are also global. The paper also includes an interesting free version of partial results on the Jacobi conjecture. In [3], implicit/inverse function theorems similar to our Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 have been proved in the setting of the *finite-dimensional operator space* $\mathbb{C}^d$, and the proof uses an essentially finite-dimensional argument. However, the payoff is some additional insights in more concrete situations, e.g., an elegant result that in some generic sense two matrices satisfying a polynomial equation must commute. Finally, other versions of implicit/inverse function theorems in different nc settings appear in [24] and [26].

2. Proofs of the main results

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let

$$g_X(Y) := Y - \Big(\delta^Y F(X^0,Y^0)\Big)^{-1(m)} F(X,Y), \quad m\in\mathbb{N},\ (X,Y)\in\Omega_{sm}. \tag{2.1}$$

By [13, Corollary 7.28] there exists $\delta>0$ such that F is uniformly analytic on $B_{\rm nc}((X^0,Y^0),\delta)$. It is obvious that for a fixed m, and $X\in B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\delta)_{sm}$, the function g_X is defined for every $Y\in B_{\rm nc}(Y^0,\delta)_{sm}$. Here

$$B_{\rm nc}((X^0,Y^0),\delta)_{sm} = B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\delta)_{sm}\times B(Y^0,\delta)_{sm}.$$

Clearly, Y_X is a fixed point of g_X if and only if $F(X,Y_X)=0$.

We will show that there exists a positive number $\gamma<\delta$ such that for any $X\in B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\gamma)$ the mapping g_X of the ball $B_{\rm nc}(Y^0,\gamma)_{sm_X}$ into $\mathcal{Y}^{sm_X\times sm_X}$ is contractive with the coefficient not exceeding $\frac{1}{2}$ (here m_X is the size of X). By [13, Theorem 7.51, Theorem 7.53], and the paragraph following the proof of [13, Theorem 7.53], we have that $\delta^Y F$ is continuous on $B_{\rm nc}((X^0,Y^0),\delta)$ and that $\delta^Y F(X,Y)\in \mathcal{L}_{\rm cb}\left(\mathcal{Y}^{sm_{(X,Y)}\times sm_{(X,Y)}}, \mathcal{Z}^{sm_{(X,Y)}\times sm_{(X,Y)}}\right)$ for every $(X,Y)\in B_{\rm nc}((X^0,Y^0),\delta)$. Next, let $m\in\mathbb{N}$, $X\in B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\delta)$. Then for every $Y\in B_{\rm nc}(Y^0,\delta)$ we have:

$$\delta g_X(Y) = I_Y - \Big(\delta^Y F(X^0,Y^0)\Big)^{-1(m)}\delta^Y F(X,Y).$$

We rewrite the last expression as

$$\delta g_X(Y) = \Big(\delta^Y F(X^0,Y^0)\Big)^{-1(m)}\Big(\delta^Y F(X^0,Y^0)^{(m)} - \delta^Y F(X,Y)\Big).$$

We have

$$\left\|\Big(\delta^Y F(X^0,Y^0)\Big)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{Z}^{s\times s},\mathcal{Y}^{s\times s})} = \sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\left\|\Big(\delta^Y F(X^0,Y^0)\Big)^{-1(k)}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}^{sk\times sk},\mathcal{Y}^{sk\times sk})}.$$

Hence condition $\Big(\delta^Y F(X^0,Y^0)\Big)^{-1}\in\mathcal{L}_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{Z}^{s\times s},\mathcal{Y}^{s\times s})$ implies

$$\left\|\Big(\delta^Y F(X^0,Y^0)\Big)^{-1(m)}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}^{sm\times sm},\mathcal{Y}^{sm\times sm})}\le M, \tag{2.2}$$

where $M := \left\| \left(\delta^Y F(X^0, Y^0) \right)^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{Z}^{s\times s}, \mathcal{Y}^{s\times s})} < \infty$. Second, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta g_X(Y)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}^{sm\times sm})} &\le \left\| \left(\delta^Y F(X^0, Y^0) \right)^{-1(m)} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}^{sm\times sm}, \mathcal{Y}^{sm\times sm})} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \delta^Y F(X^0, Y^0)^{(m)} - \delta^Y F(X, Y) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}^{sm\times sm}, \mathcal{Z}^{sm\times sm})} \\ &\le M \left\| \delta^Y F(X^0, Y^0)^{(m)} - \delta^Y F(X, Y) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}^{sm\times sm}, \mathcal{Z}^{sm\times sm})}. \end{aligned}$$

We notice that

$$\delta^Y F(X^0, Y^0)^{(m)} = \delta^Y F\Big(X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)} \Big),$$

and

$$\left(\delta^Y F(X^0, Y^0) \right)^{-1(m)} = \left(\delta^Y F(X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)}) \right)^{-1}.$$

Since $\delta^Y F$ is continuous at (X^0, Y^0), there exists γ, $0 < \gamma < \delta$, such that for any $(X, Y) \in B_{\rm nc}((X^0, Y^0), \gamma)$, we have

$$\left\| \delta^Y F(X^0, Y^0)^{(m_{(X,Y)})} - \delta^Y F(X, Y) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}^{sm_{(X,Y)}\times sm_{(X,Y)}}, \mathcal{Z}^{sm_{(X,Y)}\times sm_{(X,Y)}})} \le \frac{1}{2M}. \tag{2.3}$$

Now using (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain

$$\|\delta g_X(Y)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}^{sm_{(X,Y)}\times sm_{(X,Y)}})} \le M \frac{1}{2M} = \frac{1}{2} \tag{2.4}$$

for any $(X, Y) \in B_{\rm nc}((X^0, Y^0), \gamma)$. From now on, we assume that

$$(X, Y) \in B_{\rm nc}((X^0, Y^0), \gamma),$$

so that (2.4) holds.

Next, using (2.4) and the mean value theorem for functions in Banach spaces [15], for any $m \in \mathbb{N}, X \in B_{\rm nc}(X^0, \gamma)_{sm}$ and any $Y_1, Y_2 \in B_{\rm nc}(Y^0, \gamma)_{sm}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_X(Y_1) - g_X(Y_2)\|_{sm} &\le \sup_{0\le t\le 1} \|\delta g_X(Y_1 + t(Y_1 - Y_2))\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}^{sm\times sm})} \|Y_1 - Y_2\|_{sm} \\ &\le \sup_{Y \in B_{\rm nc}(Y^0, \gamma)_{sm}} \|\delta g_X(Y)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}^{sm\times sm})} \|Y_1 - Y_2\|_{sm}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (2.4), we derive

$$\|g_X(Y_1) - g_X(Y_2)\|_{sm} \le \frac{1}{2} \|Y_1 - Y_2\|_{sm}. \tag{2.5}$$

Here we used the convexity of $B_{\rm nc}(Y^0, \gamma)_{sm}$, so that the segment (Y_1, Y_2) lies in $B_{\rm nc}(Y^0, \gamma)_{sm}$. Hence the mapping g_X of the ball $B_{\rm nc}(Y^0, \gamma)_{sm}$ into $\mathcal{Y}^{sm\times sm}$ is contractive with the coefficient $\frac{1}{2}$ for any $X \in B_{\rm nc}(X^0, \gamma)_{sm}$. However, g_X may fail to map $B_{\rm nc}(Y^0, \gamma)_{sm}$ into itself.

The next step is to find a subset of $B_{\rm nc}(Y^0, \gamma)_{sm}$ that is a complete metric space mapped by g_X into itself for an appropriate choice of X. In fact, we will show that for any β, $0 < \beta < \gamma$, there exists a positive $\alpha < \gamma$ such that for any $X \in B_{\rm nc}(X^0, \alpha)$, g_X maps the closed ball $\overline{B}_{\rm nc}(Y^0, \beta)_{sm_X}$ into itself. The ball $\overline{B}_{\rm nc}(Y^0, \beta)_{sm_X}$ as a closed subset of a Banach space $\mathcal{Y}^{sm_X\times sm_X}$ is complete. By assumption 1 of the theorem, $F(X^{0(m_X)},Y^{0(m_X)})=F(X^0,Y^0)^{(m_X)}=0$, so we can write

$$g_X\Big(Y^{0(m_X)}\Big) = Y^{0(m_X)}-\Big(\delta^Y F(X^{0(m_X)},Y^{0(m_X)})\Big)^{-1}\Big(F(X,Y^{0(m_X)})-F(X^{0(m_X)},Y^{0((m_X)})\Big). \tag{2.6}$$

Then

$$\Big\|g_X(Y)-Y^{0(m_X)}\Big\|_{sm_X} \le \Big\|g_X(Y)-g_X(Y^{0(m_X)})\Big\|_{sm_X}+\Big\|g_X(Y^{0(m_X)})-Y^{0(m_X)}\Big\|_{sm_X}. \tag{2.7}$$

By (2.5),

$$\Big\|g_X(Y)-g_X(Y^{0(m_X)})\Big\|_{sm_X}\le\frac{1}{2}\Big\|Y-Y^{0(m_X)}\Big\|_{sm_X}. \tag{2.8}$$

By (2.6), we can write

$$\Big\|g_X(Y^{0(m_X)})-Y^{0(m_X)}\Big\|_{sm_X} \le \Big\|\Big(\delta^Y F(X^{0(m_X)},Y^{0(m_X)})\Big)^{-1}\Big\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z}^{sm_X\times sm_X},\mathcal{Y}^{sm_X\times sm_X})} \times\Big\|F(X,Y^{0(m_X)})-F(X^{0(m_X)},Y^{0(m_X)})\Big\|_{sm_X}. \tag{2.9}$$

By assumption 2 of the theorem, F is continuous at (X^0,Y^0).That is, for any positive $\beta<\gamma$, there exists a positive $\alpha<\gamma$ such that for any $X\in B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\alpha)$ one has

$$\Big\|F(X,Y^{0(m_X)})-F(X^{0(m_X)},Y^{0(m_X)})\Big\|_{sm_X}<\frac{\beta}{2M}. \tag{2.10}$$

Then by (2.2), (2.9) and (2.10),

$$\Big\|g_X(Y^{0(m_X)})-Y^{0(m_X)}\Big\|_{sm_X}\le\frac{1}{2}\beta. \tag{2.11}$$

Thus if $X\in B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\alpha)_{sm_X}$ and $Y\in\overline{B}_{\rm nc}(Y^0,\beta)_{sm_X}$, it follows from (2.7), (2.8), and (2.11) that

$$\Big\|g_X(Y)-Y^{0(m_X)}\Big\|_{sm_X}<\frac{1}{2}\Big\|Y-Y^{0(m_X)}\Big\|_{sm_X}+\frac{1}{2}\beta\le\frac{1}{2}\beta+\frac{1}{2}\beta=\beta.$$

Therefore

$$g_X(\overline{B}_{\rm nc}(Y^0,\beta)_{sm_X})\subset\overline{B}_{\rm nc}(Y^0,\beta)_{sm_X}. \tag{2.12}$$

In fact, we obtained that

$$g_X(\overline{B}_{\rm nc}(Y^0,\beta)_{sm_X})\subset B_{\rm nc}(Y^0,\beta)_{sm_X}.$$

By the classical contraction mapping principle, it follows that for any $X\in B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\alpha)$, there exists a unique $Y=Y_X:=f(X)\in B_{\rm nc}(Y^0,\beta)_{sm_X}$ that is a fixed point of the mapping

$$g_X\colon\overline{B}_{\rm nc}(Y^0,\beta)_{sm_X}\to\overline{B}_{\rm nc}(Y^0,\beta)_{sm_X}.$$

Conclusions *I* and *IIa* follow immediately. We only need to show that f is a nc function, i.e., respects direct sums and similarities.

First we prove that f respects direct sums, i.e., for $X', X'' \in B_{\rm nc}(X^0, \alpha)$,

$$f(X' \oplus X'') = f(X') \oplus f(X'').$$

We have $g_{X'}(Y_{X'}) = Y_{X'} \in B_{\rm nc}(Y^0, \beta)_{m_{X'}}$, $g_{X''}(Y_{X''}) = Y_{X''} \in B_{\rm nc}(Y^0, \beta)_{m_{X''}}$ and

$$g_{X'\oplus X''}(Y_{X'\oplus X''}) = Y_{X'\oplus X''}, \quad Y_{X'\oplus X''} \in B_{\rm nc}(Y^0, \eta)_{m_{X'}+m_{X''}}. \tag{2.13}$$

Now, by the definition of g_X,

$$\begin{aligned} &g_{X'\oplus X''}\Big(Y_{X'} \oplus Y_{X''}\Big) \\ &\qquad = Y_{X'} \oplus Y_{X''} - \Big(\delta^Y F(X^0, Y^0)\Big)^{-1(m_{X'}+m_{X''})} F\Big(X' \oplus X'', Y_{X'} \oplus Y_{X''}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Since $F\Big(X' \oplus X', Y_{X'} \oplus Y_{X''}\Big) = F\Big(X', Y_{X'}\Big) \oplus F\Big(X,'', Y_{X''}\Big) = 0 \oplus 0 = 0$, we have

$$g_{X'\oplus X''}\Big(Y_{X'} \oplus Y_{X''}\Big) = Y_{X'} \oplus Y_{X''}. \tag{2.14}$$

Since the fixed point is unique, it follows from (2.13) and (2.14)

$$Y_{X'\oplus X''} = Y_{X'} \oplus Y_{X''}, \text{ or } \quad f\Big(X' \oplus X''\Big) = f(X') \oplus f(X'').$$

Now we show that f respects similarities. Let $X \in B_{\rm nc}(X^0, \alpha)$ and let $S \in \mathbb{F}^{sm_X \times sm_X}$ be invertible and such that $X^{'} := SXS^{-1} \in B_{\rm nc}(X^0, \alpha)$. Then for any $c \in \mathbb{F}$, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} X^{'} & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & -cS \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X^{'} & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & cS \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix},$$

or equivalently, $X^{'} \oplus X = T_c\Big(X^{'} \oplus X\Big)T_c^{-1}$, where

$$T_c := \begin{bmatrix} I & -cS \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

For $c \neq 0$ small enough, we have $T_c f\Big(X^{'} \oplus X\Big)T_c^{-1} \in B_{\rm nc}(Y^0, \beta)$. Then

$$\Big(X^{'} \oplus X, T_c f\Big(X^{'} \oplus X\Big)T_c^{-1}\Big) \subset \Omega.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} F\Big(X^{'} \oplus X, T_c f\Big(X^{'} \oplus X\Big)T_c^{-1}\Big) &= F\Big(T_c\Big(X^{'} \oplus X\Big)T_c^{-1}, T_c f\Big(X^{'} \oplus X\Big)T_c^{-1}\Big) \\ &= T_c F\Big(X^{'} \oplus X, f\Big(X^{'} \oplus X\Big)\Big)T_c^{-1} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by the part proved earlier, we must have $T_c f(X^{'} \oplus X)T_c^{-1} = f(X^{'} \oplus X)$. Using $f(X^{'} \oplus X) = f(X^{'}) \oplus f(X)$, we obtain

$$T_c\Big(f(X^{'}) \oplus f(X)\Big)T_c^{-1} = f(X^{'}) \oplus f(X),$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & -cS \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f(X^{'}) & 0 \\ 0 & f(X) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & cS \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f(X^{'}) & 0 \\ 0 & f(X) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The left-hand side is equal to

$$\begin{bmatrix} f(X^{'}) & c(f(X^{'})S - Sf(X)) \\ 0 & f(X) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence $f(X^{'})S = Sf(X)$, i.e., $f(SXS^{-1}) = f(X^{'}) = Sf(X)S^{-1}$ as required.

To prove conclusion *IIb*, we observe that $f(B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\alpha)) \subset \overline{B}_{\rm nc}(Y^0,\beta)$ implies $\|f(X)\| \leq \|Y^0\| + \beta$ for any $X \in B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\alpha)$. By [13, Corollary 7.28], f is bounded on $B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\alpha)$ if and only if f is uniformly analytic on $B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\alpha)$.

Next we prove conclusion *III*. We have that $F(X,f(X)) = 0$ for every $X \in B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\alpha)$. By [13, Theorem 7.51 and Theorem 7.53], the derivatives of F exist and moreover they are continuous on

$$B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\alpha) \times B_{\rm nc}(Y^0,\beta) \subset B_{\rm nc}((X^0,Y^0),\delta).$$

In particular, $\delta^Y F$ and $\delta^X F$ are continuous,

$$\delta^Y F(X,Y) \in \mathcal{L}_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{Y}^{sm_{(X,Y)}\times sm_{(X,Y)}}, \mathcal{Z}^{sm_{(X,Y)}\times sm_{(X,Y)}})$$

and

$$\delta^X F(X,Y) \in \mathcal{L}_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{X}^{sm_{(X,Y)}\times sm_{(X,Y)}}, \mathcal{Z}^{sm_{(X,Y)}\times sm_{(X,Y)}})$$

for every $(X,Y) \in B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\alpha) \times B_{\rm nc}(Y^0,\beta)$. Using the chain rule we obtain

$$\delta^X F(X,f(X)) + \delta^Y F(X,F(X))(\delta f(X)) = 0.$$

Making β (and hence the corresponding α) smaller if necessary, we can make $\delta^Y F(X,Y)$ invertible on $B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\alpha) \times B_{\rm nc}(Y^0,\beta)$, with a completely bounded inverse. Then

$$\delta f(X) = -\Big(\delta^Y F(X,f(X))\Big)^{-1} \delta^X F(X,f(X))$$

as required.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let

$$\Omega := \coprod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\mathcal{X}^{n\times n} \times \Omega_n^Y\right) \subset (\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})_{\rm nc}.$$

Consider the function $F\colon \Omega \to \mathcal{X}_{\rm nc}$, $F(X,Y) = g(Y) - X$. Denote $X^0 = g(Y^0)$. By the construction of F, $F(X^0,Y^0) = g(Y^0) - X^0 = 0$, and F is uniformly analytic on Ω. $\delta^Y F(X^0,Y^0) = \delta g(Y^0)$ is invertible, and $(\delta^Y F(X^0,Y^0))^{-1} = (\delta g(Y^0))^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{X}^{s\times s}, \mathcal{Y}^{s\times s})$. Thus all the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 hold for F, and we obtain the following conclusions:

- There exist $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$ such that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,
$$B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\alpha)_{sm} \times B_{\rm nc}(Y^0,\beta)_{sm} \subset \Omega_{sm}.$$
- There exists a nc function $f\colon B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\alpha) \to B_{\rm nc}(Y^0,\beta)$, such that for $(X,Y) \in B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\alpha)_{sm} \times B_{\rm nc}(Y^0,\beta)_{sm}$,
$$(F(X,Y) = 0) \iff (Y = f(X)).$$
- $\delta^Y F(X,Y)$ has a completely bounded inverse on $B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\alpha) \times B_{\rm nc}(Y^0,\beta)$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
- f is uniformly analytic on $B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\alpha)$ with
$$\delta f(X) = -\Big(\delta^Y F(X,f(X))\Big)^{-1} \delta^X F(X,f(X)).$$

We now prove that f is the inverse function for g, and that $\delta f(X) = \Big(\delta g(f(X))\Big)^{-1}$. Since $F(X,Y) = g(Y) - X$,

$$F(X, f(X)) = 0 \Rightarrow F(X, f(X)) = g(f(X)) - X = 0 \Rightarrow g(f(X)) = X.$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta f(X) &= -\Big(\delta^Y F(X, f(X))\Big)^{-1} \delta^X F(X, f(X))\\ &= -\Big(\delta^Y F(X, f(X))\Big)^{-1}(-\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{X}^{sm_X \times sm_X}}) = \Big(\delta g(f(X))\Big)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Set $\Gamma = B_{\mathrm{nc}}(X^0, \alpha)$, $\Delta = f(\Gamma) \subset B_{\mathrm{nc}}(Y^0, \beta)$. Clearly, $f\colon \Gamma \to \Delta$ is a surjection. Since $g(f(X)) = X$ for every $X \in \Gamma$, f is also an injection. And since f is uniformly analytic, f is continuous. We also have that $f^{-1} = g|_\Delta$ is continuous. Thus $g|_\Delta \colon \Delta \to \Gamma$ is a homeomorphism, and Δ is a uniformly open nc set. □

Lemma 2.1. *In the assumptions of Theorem 1.6, for any* (X^1, Y^1), $(X^2, Y^2) \in \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}, (X^0, Y^0))$, *the operator* $\Delta_R^Y F((X^1, Y^1), (X^2, Y^2))$ *is invertible.*

Proof. First we observe that for any (X^1, Y^1), $(X^2, Y^2) \in \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}, (X^0, Y^0))$, $\begin{bmatrix} X^1 & 0 \\ 0 & X^2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} Y^1 & Z \\ 0 & Y^2 \end{bmatrix}$ are jointly nilpotent about (X^0, Y^0).

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_R^Y F((X^1, Y^1), (X^2, Y^2))(Z) = F\left(\begin{bmatrix} X^1 & 0 \\ 0 & X^2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} Y^1 & Z \\ 0 & Y^2 \end{bmatrix}\right)_{(1,2)}\\
&= \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left\{\left(\begin{bmatrix} X^1 - X^{0(m)} & 0 \\ 0 & X^2 - X^{0(m)} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} Y^1 - Y^{0(m)} & Z \\ 0 & Y^2 - Y^{0(m)} \end{bmatrix}\right)^{\odot_s \ell}\right\}_{(1,2)}\\
&\qquad\qquad \times \Delta_R^{\ell} F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0))\\
&= Z \Delta_R^Y F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0)) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (X^1 - X^{0(m)}, Y^1 - Y^{0(m)})^{\odot_s j} \odot_s Z\\
&\qquad \odot_s (X^2 - X^{0(m)}, Y^2 - Y^{0(m)})^{\odot_s (\ell-j)} \Delta_R^{\ell+1} F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0))\\
&\qquad\qquad = \Big(\Delta_R^Y F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0))\Big)^{(m)} \Big((\mathrm{id} + N)(Z)\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}N(Z) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} &(X^1 - X^{0(m)}, Y^1 - Y^{0(m)})^{\odot_s j} \odot_s Z \odot_s (X^2 - X^{0(m)}, Y^2 - Y^{0(m)})^{\odot_s (\ell-j)}\\ &\times \Big(\Delta_R^Y F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0))\Big)^{-1} \Delta_R^{\ell+1} F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0)).\end{aligned}$$

The linear operator N is nilpotent, i.e., $N^\gamma = 0$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore the operator $\mathrm{id} + N$ is invertible. Thus the operator $\Delta_R^Y F((X^1, Y^1), (X^2, Y^2))$ is invertible. □

Proof of Theorem 1.6. For every $m, \kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X \in \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0; sm, \kappa)$, we define the set

$$\Upsilon_X := \left\{Y \in \mathcal{N}^{sm \times sm} \colon (X, Y) \in \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}, (X^0, Y^0), \kappa'), \text{for some } \kappa' \geq \kappa\right\}.$$

Notice that $\Upsilon_X \subseteq \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{N}, Y^0, \kappa')$ for some $\kappa' \geq \kappa$. We also define the mapping $g_X \colon \Upsilon_X \to \Upsilon_X$ by

$$g_X(Y) = Y - \Big(\Delta_R^Y F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0))\Big)^{-1(m)} F(X, Y). \tag{2.15}$$

We now show that g_X is well defined, i.e., $g_X(Y) \in \Upsilon_X$ for $Y \in \Upsilon_X$. Indeed, since $Y \in \Upsilon_X$ implies that $(X, Y) \in \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{N}, (X^0, Y^0); \kappa')$ for some $\kappa' \geq \kappa$, it suffices to show that

$$\Big(X - X^{0(m)}, g_X(Y) - Y^{0(m)}\Big)^{\odot_s \kappa'} = 0.$$

Using the TT formula

$$\begin{aligned} F(X,Y) &= F(X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)}) \\ &\qquad + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa'-1} (X - X^{0(m)}, Y - Y^{0(m)})^{\odot_s \ell} \Delta_R^{\ell} F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0)), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(X - X^{0(m)}, g_X(Y) - Y^{0(m)}\Big)^{\odot_s \kappa'} \\ &\quad = \Big(X - X^{0(m)}, Y - Y^{0(m)} - \Big(\Delta_R^Y F((X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)}), (X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)}))\Big)^{-1} \\ &\qquad \times \sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa'-1} (X - X^{0(m)}, Y - Y^{0(m)})^{\odot_s \ell} \Delta_R^{\ell} F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0))\Big)^{\odot_s \kappa'} \\ &\qquad = \Big(X - X^{0(m)}, Y - Y^{0(m)} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa'-1} (X - X^{0(m)}, Y - Y^{0(m)})^{\odot_s \ell} \\ &\qquad \times \Big(\Delta_R^Y F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0))\Big)^{-1} \Delta_R^{\ell} F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0))\Big)^{\odot_s \kappa'} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$(X - X^{0(m)}, Y - Y^{0(m)})^{\odot_s w} = 0$$

for every word w in two letters g_1, g_2 of length κ' or greater. Here for $w = g_{i_1} \dots g_{i_k}$ we define

$$\Big(X - X^{0(m)}, Y - Y^{0(m)}\Big)^{\odot_s w} = \Big(X_{i_1} - X_{i_1}^{0(m)}\Big) \odot_s \cdots \odot_s \Big(X_{i_k} - X_{i_k}^{0(m)}\Big),$$

where

$$X_i - X_i^{0(m)} := \begin{cases} X - X^{0(m)}, & \text{if } i = 1 \\ Y - Y^{0(m)}, & \text{if } i = 2. \end{cases}$$

The TT formula also implies that $F(X, Y) \in \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{O}; sm, \kappa')$ for $(X, Y) \in \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{N}, (X^0, Y^0); sm, \kappa')$.

Now we prove that for every $m, \kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X \in \mathrm{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0; sm, \kappa)$, there exists $Y \in \Upsilon_X$ such that $F(X, Y) = 0$. We define a sequence

$$Y^{[0]} = Y^{0(m)},\ Y^{[k+1]} = g_X(Y^{[k]}),\ k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and claim that

$$Y^{[k+1]} - Y^{[k]} = (X - X^{0(m)})^{\odot_s k+1} f_{k+1} + \text{higher order terms}, \tag{2.16}$$

where $f_{k+1} \in \operatorname{Hom}((\mathcal{M}^{s\times s})^{\otimes k+1}, \mathcal{N}^{s\times s})$, and is extended to

$$\operatorname{Hom}\Big(((\mathcal{M}^{s\times s})^{\otimes k+1})^{m\times m}, (\mathcal{N}^{s\times s})^{m\times m}\Big)$$

by $f_{k+1}(A) = [f_{k+1}(a_{ij})]_{i,j=1,\ldots,m}$.

We apply induction on k. Note that $(X, Y^{0(m)})$ is in $\operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{N}, (X^0, Y^0); sm, \kappa)$ since $(X - X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)} - Y^{0(m)}) = (X - X^{0(m)}, 0)$. We first show that (2.16) holds for $k = 0$. Using (2.15) and the TT formula for $F(\,\cdot\,, Y^{0(m)})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{[1]} - Y^{[0]} &= -\Big(\Delta_R^Y F((X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)}), (X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)}))\Big)^{-1} F(X, Y^{0(m)}) \\ &= -\sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa-1} (X - X^{0(m)})^{\odot_s \ell} \Big(\Delta_R^Y F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0))\Big)^{-1} \Big(\Delta_R^X\Big)^{\ell} F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0)) \\ &= -(X - X^{0(m)}) \Big(\Delta_R^Y F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0))\Big)^{-1} \Delta_R^X F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0)) \\ &\qquad + \text{higher order terms} \\ &= (X - X^{0(m)}) f_1 + \text{higher order terms}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Let (2.16) be true for all powers $0, 1, \ldots, k-1$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Using (2.15) and the TT formula for $F(X, Y^{[k]})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{[k+1]} - Y^{[k]} &= -\Big(\Delta_R^Y F((X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)}), (X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)}))\Big)^{-1} F(X, Y^{[k]}) \\ &= -\Big(\Delta_R^Y F((X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)}), (X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)}))\Big)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (X - X^{0(m)}, Y^{[k]} - Y^{0(m)})^{\odot_s \ell} \Delta_R^{\ell} F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0)) \\ &= -\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (X - X^{0(m)}, Y^{[k]} - Y^{[k-1]} + Y^{[k-1]} - Y^{0(m)})^{\odot_s \ell} \\ &\quad \times \Big(\Delta_R^Y F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0))\Big)^{-1} \Delta_R^{\ell} F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0)) \\ &= \text{summands with powers not involving } (Y^{[k]} - Y^{[k-1]}) \\ &\quad + \text{summands with powers involving } (Y^{[k]} - Y^{[k-1]}) \\ &= -\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (X - X^{0(m)}, Y^{[k-1]} - Y^{0(m)})^{\odot_s \ell} \\ &\quad \times \Big(\Delta_R^Y F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0))\Big)^{-1} \Delta_R^{\ell} F((X^0, Y^0), (X^0, Y^0)) \\ &\quad - (Y^{[k]} - Y^{[k-1]}) + \text{higher order terms} \\ &= -\Big(\Delta_R^Y F((X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)}), (X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)}))\Big)^{-1} F(X, Y^{[k-1]}) - (Y^{[k]} - Y^{[k-1]}) \\ &\quad + (X - X^{0(m)})^{\odot_s k+1} f_{k+1} + \text{higher order terms} \\ &= (X - X^{0(m)})^{\odot_s k+1} f_{k+1} + \text{higher order terms}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that all the sums have finitely many nonzero terms.

It follows from (2.16) that $Y^{[k+1]} - Y^{[k]} \in \operatorname{Nilp}\Big(\mathcal{N}; sm, \lceil \frac{\kappa}{k+1} \rceil\Big)$. In particular, $Y^{[\kappa]} - Y^{[\kappa-1]} \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{N}; sm, 1)$, i.e., $Y^{[\kappa]} - Y^{[\kappa-1]} = 0$, and thus $F(X, Y^{[\kappa-1]}) = 0$.

Now define $f(X) := Y^{[\kappa-1]}$. Obviously, $(X, Y^{[\kappa-1]}) \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{N}, (X^0, Y^0); sm)$ so $f(\operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0; sm)) \subset \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{N}, Y^0; sm)$. Thus, for each $X \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0)$ there exists $Y(= f(X)) \in \Upsilon_X$ such that $F(X, Y) = 0$.

Next we show that the solution of $F(X, Y) = 0$ for each $X \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0)$ is unique. Moreover, if $(X, Y), (X, Y') \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}, (X^0, Y^0); sm)$ are such that $F(X, Y) = F(X, Y')$, then we have $Y = Y'$. By [13, Theorem 2.10]) (cf. (1.8))

$$0 = F(X, Y) - F(X, Y') = \Delta_R^Y F((X, Y'), (X, Y))(Y - Y').$$

By Lemma 2.1, the operator $\Delta_R^Y F((X, Y'), (X, Y))$ is invertible, hence $Y = Y'$.

We have proved that for each $X \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0)$ there exists a unique $Y(= f(X)) \in \Upsilon_X$ such that $F(X, Y) = 0$.

We prove now that $f\colon \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0) \to \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{N}, Y^0)$ is a nc function. Let $X \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0; sm)$, $\tilde{X} \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0; s\tilde{m})$, and $S \in \mathcal{M}^{s\tilde{m}\times sm}$ be such that $SX = \tilde{X}S$. Then we have $(X, f(X)) \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{N}, (X^0, Y^0); sm)$, $(\tilde{X}, f(\tilde{X})) \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}\times \mathcal{N}, (X^0, Y^0); s\tilde{m})$. Applying [13, Theorem 2.11], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &SF(X, f(X)) - F(\tilde{X}, f(\tilde{X}))S \\ &\qquad = \Delta_R F((\tilde{X}, f(\tilde{X})), (X, f(X))(S(X, f(X)) - (\tilde{X}, f(\tilde{X}))S) \\ &\qquad = \Delta_R^X F((\tilde{X}, f(\tilde{X})), (X, f(X))(SX - \tilde{X}S) \\ &\qquad\quad + \Delta_R^Y F((\tilde{X}, f(\tilde{X})), (X, f(X))(Sf(X) - f(\tilde{X})S) \\ &\qquad = \Delta_R^Y F((\tilde{X}, f(\tilde{X})), (X, f(X))(Sf(X) - f(\tilde{X})S). \end{aligned}$$

Since the left-hand side is 0 and by Lemma 2.1 the operator

$$\Delta_R^Y F((\tilde{X}, f(\tilde{X})), (X, f(X))$$

is invertible, we obtain that $Sf(X) - f(\tilde{X})S = 0$, i.e., f respects intertwinings. Thus we have proved conclusion *I*.

Finally, we prove conclusion *II*. If $X \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0; sm, \kappa)$, then $(X, f(X)) \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{N}, (X^0, Y^0); sm, \kappa)$. We also observe that $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} X & Z \\ 0 & X \end{smallmatrix}\right] \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0; 2sm, \kappa + 1)$ for an arbitrary $Z \in \mathcal{M}^{sm\times sm}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix}, f\left(\begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix}\right)\right) \\ &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} f(X) & \Delta_R f(X, X)(Z) \\ 0 & f(X) \end{bmatrix}\right) \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{N}, (X^0, Y^0); 2sm, \kappa+1). \end{aligned}$$

By part *I*,

$$0 = F\left(\begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} f(X) & \Delta_R f(X, X)(Z) \\ 0 & f(X) \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= F\left(\begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} f(X) & \Delta_R f(X, X)(Z) \\ 0 & f(X) \end{bmatrix}\right)_{(1,2)} \\ &= \Delta_R F\Big((X, f(X)), (X, f(X))\Big)\Big(Z, \Delta_R f(X, X)(Z)\Big) \\ &= \Delta_R^X F\Big((X, f(X)), (X, f(X))\Big)(Z) + \Delta_R^Y F\Big((X, f(X)), (X, f(X))\Big)(\Delta_R f(X, X)(Z)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta_R^Y F\Big((X, f(X)), (X, f(X))\Big)$ is invertible by Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\Delta_R f(X,X)(Z) = \\ -\Big(\Delta_R^Y F((X, f(X)), (X, f(X)))\Big)^{-1} \Delta_R^X F\Big((X, f(X)), (X, f(X))\Big)(Z).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Denote $X^0 = g(Y^0)$. Consider the function $F(X,Y) = g(Y) - X$. Then by the construction, we have

- $F\colon \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{N}, (X^0, Y^0)) \to \mathcal{M}_{\rm nc}$,
- $F(X^0, Y^0) = 0$,
- F is a nc function, and $\Delta_R^Y F((X^0,Y^0),(X^0,Y^0)) = \Delta_R g(Y^0, Y^0)$ is invertible.

Thus all the assumptions of Theorem 1.6 hold, and we obtain the following conclusions:

- There exists a nc function $f\colon \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0) \to \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{N}, Y^0) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\rm nc}$ such that $X \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0)$ if and only if $(X, f(X)) \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{N}, (X^0, Y^0))$, and $Y = f(X)$ if and only if $F(X,Y) = 0$.
- For any $X \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0)$, the operator $\Delta_R^Y F((X, f(X)), (X, f(X)))$ is invertible, and

$$\Delta_R f(X,X)(Z) \\ = -\Big(\Delta_R^Y F((X, f(X)), (X, f(X)))\Big)^{-1} \Delta_R^X F((X, f(X)), (X, f(X)))(Z). \tag{2.18}$$

If $g(Y^1) = g(Y^2)$, then $g(Y^1) - g(Y^2) = \Delta_R g(Y^2, Y^1)(Y^1 - Y^2)$ for any Y^1 and $Y^2 \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{N}, Y^0; sm)$ for some m. By Lemma 2.1, the operator $\Delta_R g(Y^2, Y^1) = \Delta_R^Y F\Big((X^{0(m)}, Y^2), (X^{0(m)}, Y^1)\Big)$ is invertible. Therefore, $Y^1 = Y^2$. This means that g is one-to-one.

Now from $0 = F(X,Y) = g(Y) - X$, we have $g\Big(f(X)\Big) \equiv X$ for every $X \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0)$. Hence $g\Big(\operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{N}, Y^0)\Big) \supseteq \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0)$. By [13, Remark 5.7], we also have the inclusion "$\subseteq$", so that $g\Big(\operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{N}, Y^0)\Big) = \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0)$.

We have $g\Big(f(X)\Big) = X$ for every $X \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0)$. Also, given $Y \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{N}, Y^0)$, we have $g(f(g(Y))) = g(Y)$. Since g is one-to-one, it follows that $Y = f\Big(g(Y)\Big)$. Thus $f = g^{-1}$.

By Lemma 2.1, $\Delta_R g(Y,Y) = \Delta_R^Y F\Big((X,Y),(X,Y)\Big)$ is invertible, where $X \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0)$ is arbitrary. Therefore $\Delta_R g(f(X), f(X))$ is invertible for every $X \in \operatorname{Nilp}(\mathcal{M}, X^0)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_R f(X,X) &= -\Big(\Delta_R^Y F((X, f(X)), (X, f(X)))\Big)^{-1} \Delta_R^X F\Big((X, f(X)), (X, f(X))\Big) \\ &= -\Big(\Delta_R^Y F((X, f(X)), (X, f(X))\Big)^{-1} (-\mathrm{id}) = \Big(\Delta_R^Y F((X, f(X)), (X, f(X))\Big)^{-1} \\ &= \Big(\Delta_R g(f(X), f(X))\Big)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

3. Applications

3.1. **Initial value problems for ODEs in nc spaces.** In our earlier paper [1], we obtained a nc version of the Banach contraction mapping theorem and then applied it to obtain a theorem on the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the initial value problem for ODEs of the form $\dot{Y} = g(t, Y)$ in nc spaces. The right-hand side g was assumed to be a nc function of Y for every fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and satisfied a global Lipschitz condition. Consequently, the solution is also globally defined and having a certain direct-sum structure when the initial condition has a similar structure. In this section, we obtain a complementary result using the implicit nc function theorem. The global Lipschitz condition on g is now replaced by the assumption of continuity of its Gateaux derivative. Then the existence and uniqueness of the local solution of the initial value problem is established. Moreover, we show that the solution is a uniformly analytic nc function of the initial data.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an interval in $\mathbb{R}$ and t_0 an interior point of $\mathcal{I}$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a (real or complex) operator space, $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{\rm nc}$ a uniformly open nc set, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, and $X^0 \in \Gamma_s$. Suppose that $g\colon \mathcal{I} \times \Gamma \to \mathcal{X}_{\rm nc}$ is a continuous mapping with respect to the uniformly-open topology on $\mathcal{X}_{\rm nc}$, its G-derivative δg is continuous in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{X}^{s\times s})}$, and that $g(t,\cdot)\colon \Gamma \to \mathcal{X}_{\rm nc}$ is a nc function for every fixed $t \in \mathcal{I}$. Then there exist $\delta > 0$ with $[t_0-\delta, t_0+\delta] \subset \mathcal{I}$, $\alpha > 0$, and a uniformly analytic nc function*

$$f\colon B_{\rm nc}(X^0, \alpha) \to C^1([t_0-\delta, t_0+\delta], \mathcal{X}^{s\times s})_{\rm nc} \tag{3.1}$$

such that, for every $X \in B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\alpha)$, $Y = f(X)$ is a unique solution of the initial value problem for the ODE

$$\dot{Y} = g(t, Y), \qquad Y(t_0) = X. \tag{3.2}$$

Here $C^1([t_0-\delta, t_0+\delta], \mathcal{X}^{s\times s})$ is an operator space of $\mathcal{X}^{s\times s}$-valued continuously differentiable functions on $[t_0-\delta, t_0+\delta]$ with respect to the sequence of norms

$$\|Y\|_{sm} = \max\{\|Y\|_\infty, \|\dot{Y}\|_\infty\}, \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

where

$$\|Y\|_\infty = \max_{t\in[t_0-\delta,t_0+\delta]} \|Y(t)\|_{sm}$$

and similarly for $\|\dot{Y}\|_\infty$.

Proof. By the Cauchy theorem on the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the initial value problem for an ODE (see, e.g., [5, Theorem 10.4.5]), for $X = X^0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ with $[t_0-\delta, t_0+\delta] \subset \mathcal{I}$ and a unique solution $Y = Y^0$ of the problem (3.2) with the values in Γ_s and such that $Y^0 \in C^1([t_0-\delta, t_0+\delta], \mathcal{X}^{s\times s})$.

Let Δ consist of functions from $C^1([t_0-\delta, t_0+\delta], \mathcal{X}^{s\times s})_{\rm nc}$ with values in Γ. Clearly, $Y^0 \in \Delta$. Since Γ is a nc set, so is Δ. We will show next that Δ is uniformly open. Let $Y_* \in \Delta_{sr}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. The function Y_* is continuous, therefore the set $Y_*([t_0-\delta, t_0+\delta])$ is a compact subset of Γ_{sr}. For every $t \in [t_0-\delta, t_0+\delta]$, let $\epsilon_t > 0$ be such that $B_{\rm nc}(Y_*(t), \epsilon_t) \subseteq \Gamma$. In particular, $B_{\rm nc}(Y_*(t), \epsilon_t)_{sr} \subseteq \Gamma_{sr}$. The balls $B_{\rm nc}(Y_*(t), \epsilon_t)_{sr}$, $t \in [t_0-\delta, t_0+\delta]$, cover the compact set $Y_*([t_0-\delta, t_0+\delta])$. Let $t_1, \ldots, t_k \in [t_0-\delta, t_0+\delta]$ be such that the balls $B_{\rm nc}(Y_*(t_i), \epsilon_{t_i})_{sr}$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, form a finite sub-cover of $Y_*([t_0-\delta, t_0+\delta])$. Then, for every $t \in [t_0-\delta, t_0+\delta]$, one has

$$\max_{i=1,\ldots,k} (\epsilon_{t_i} - \|Y_*(t) - Y_*(t_i)\|_{sr}) > 0.$$

Since the left-hand side of this inequality is continuous in t, we have that

$$\mu := \min_{t\in[t_0-\delta,t_0+\delta]} \max_{i=1,\ldots,k} (\epsilon_{t_i} - \|Y_*(t) - Y_*(t_i)\|_{sr}) > 0.$$

Let $Y \in B_{\rm nc}(Y_*, \mu)_{srm}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have that, for every $t \in [t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta]$, there is $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\|Y(t) - Y_*^{(m)}(t)\|_{srm} \le \|Y - Y_*^{(m)}\|_\infty \le \|Y - Y_*^{(m)}\|_{srm} < \mu \\ \le \epsilon_{t_i} - \|Y_*(t) - Y_*(t_i)\|_{sr}.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|Y(t) - Y_*^{(m)}(t_i)\|_{srm} &\le \|Y(t) - Y_*^{(m)}(t)\|_{srm} + \|Y_*^{(m)}(t) - Y_*^{(m)}(t_i)\|_{srm} \\ &= \|Y(t) - Y_*^{(m)}(t)\|_{srm} + \|Y_*(t) - Y_*(t_i)\|_{sr} < \epsilon_{t_i},\end{aligned}$$

i.e., $Y(t) \in B_{\rm nc}(Y_*(t_i), \epsilon_{t_i}) \subseteq \Gamma$. Thus Y_* has a neighborhood $B_{\rm nc}(Y_*, \mu)$ contained in Δ, so that Δ is a uniformly open nc set.

Let

$$\Omega = \coprod_{m=1}^{\infty} (\Gamma_{sm} \times \Delta_{sm}).$$

Clearly, Ω is a uniformly open nc set in $(\mathcal{X}^{s\times s} \times C^1([t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta], \mathcal{X}^{s\times s}))_{\rm nc}$, and

$$F\colon \Omega \to C^1([t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta], \mathcal{X}^{s\times s})_{\rm nc}$$

defined by

$$[F(X,Y)](t) := Y(t) - X - \int_{t_0}^{t} g(\tau, Y(\tau))\, d\tau$$

is a continuous nc function. We note that (3.2) is equivalent to $F(X,Y) = 0$. Since $\delta^Y g$ is continuous on $[t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta] \times \Delta$ in the cb-norm, we can write

$$[\delta^Y F(X,Y)(Z)](t) = Z(t) - \int_{t_0}^{t} \delta^Y g(\tau, Y(\tau))(Z(\tau))\, d\tau.$$

Clearly, $\delta^Y F$ is cb-continuous on Ω and, in fact, independent of X.

Our goal now is to show that $\delta^Y F(X^0, Y^0) \in \mathcal{L}_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{X}^{s\times s})$ is invertible and its inverse is completely bounded, i.e., $(\delta^Y F(X^0, Y^0))^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{X}^{s\times s})$. Given any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G \in C^1([t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta], \mathcal{X}^{sm\times sm})$, there exists a unique solution $Z \in C^1([t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta], \mathcal{X}^{sm\times sm})$ of the equation

$$\delta^Y F(X^0, Y^0)^{(m)}(Z) = G, \tag{3.3}$$

since (3.3) is equivalent to the initial value problem for the linear ODE

$$\dot{Z}(t) - \delta^Y g(t, Y^0(t))^{(m)}(Z(t)) = \dot{G}(t), \qquad Z(t_0) = G(t_0), \tag{3.4}$$

and the latter has a unique solution on $[t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta]$; see, e.g., [5, Theorem 10.6.3]. Since the bounded operator $\delta^Y F(X^0, Y^0)^{(m)}$ is invertible, its inverse

$$(\delta^Y F(X^0, Y^0)^{(m)})^{-1} = \Big((\delta^Y F(X^0, Y^0))^{-1}\Big)^{(m)}$$

is bounded as well by the Banach open mapping theorem. We are going now to estimate its norm. If (3.3) holds, then

$$\begin{aligned}\|Z\|_\infty &\le \|G\|_\infty + \max_{t\in[t_0-\delta,t_0+\delta]}\Big\|\int_{t_0}^{t}\delta^Y g(\tau,Y(\tau))^{(m)}(Z(\tau))\,d\tau\Big\|_{sm}\\ &\le \|G\|_\infty + \delta\max_{t\in[t_0-\delta,t_0+\delta]}\|\delta^Y g(t,Y(t))\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{X}^{s\times s})}\|Z\|_\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Making δ smaller if necessary, so that

$$\kappa := \max_{t\in[t_0-\delta,t_0+\delta]}\|\delta^Y g(t,Y(t))\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{X}^{s\times s})} < \frac{1}{\delta},$$

we obtain that

$$\|Z\|_\infty \le \frac{\|G\|_\infty}{1-\kappa\delta} \le \frac{\|G\|_{sm}}{1-\kappa\delta}.$$

Using this estimate, we obtain from (3.4) that

$$\|\dot Z\|_\infty \le \|\dot G\|_\infty + \kappa\|Z\|_\infty \le \Big(1+\frac{\kappa}{1-\kappa\delta}\Big)\|G\|_{sm} = \frac{1-\kappa\delta+\kappa}{1-\kappa\delta}\|G\|_{sm}.$$

Therefore

$$\|Z\|_{sm} = \max\{\|Z\|_\infty,\|\dot Z\|_\infty\} \le \max\Big\{\frac{1}{1-\kappa\delta},\frac{1-\kappa\delta+\kappa}{1-\kappa\delta}\Big\}\|G\|_{sm}.$$

If, moreover, $\delta\le 1$, then we obtain the inequality

$$\|Z\|_{sm} \le \frac{1-\kappa\delta+\kappa}{1-\kappa\delta}\|G\|_{sm},$$

i.e.,

$$\|(\delta^Y F(X^0,Y^0)^{(m)})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}^{sm\times sm})} \le \frac{1-\kappa\delta+\kappa}{1-\kappa\delta}.$$

Since the right-hand side is independent of m, the operator $(\delta^Y F(X^0,Y^0))^{-1}$ is completely bounded and

$$\|(\delta^Y F(X^0,Y^0))^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{X}^{s\times s})} \le \frac{1-\kappa\delta+\kappa}{1-\kappa\delta}.$$

Now, as we fixed δ with the additional properties above, all the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 on the nc function F are satisfied, and then its conclusions I and II guarantee the existence of a uniformly analytic nc function f as in (3.1) which assigns to every initial data $X\in B_{\rm nc}(X^0,\alpha)$ the unique solution Y of (3.2). ☐

3.2. **Extremal problems with nc constraints.** In this section, we discuss extremal problems in nc spaces with the constraints determined by nc functions. We use the implicit nc function theorem to reduce the problem to certain equations which give the necessary conditions for the constrained extremum. In the case where the underlying nc spaces are over finite-dimensional vector spaces, we obtain the equations with Lagrange multipliers. Since these extremal problems are over matrices of infinitely many sizes, the results are somewhat different from the classical (commutative) case.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be operator spaces over $\mathbb{R}$ [22]. Let $\Omega\subseteq(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})_{\rm nc}$ be a uniformly open nc set, and let a function $g\colon\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$g(X^{(m)},Y^{(m)}) = g(X,Y) \text{ for every } X,Y\in\Omega \text{ and } m\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{3.5}$$

Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a real operator space and $G\colon \Omega \to \mathcal{W}_{\rm nc}$ a nc function. Let $\tau\colon \mathcal{W}_{\rm nc} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$\tau(W^{(m)}) = \tau(W) \text{ for every } W \in \mathcal{W}_{\rm nc} \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.6}$$

Then $g = \tau \circ G\colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (3.5). One example of such τ is given by

$$\tau(W) = \|W\|_n, \qquad W \in \mathcal{W}^{n\times n},\ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Another example is a *normalized trace on* $\mathcal{W}_{\rm nc}$, defined as an arbitrary bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{W}$ and then extended to square matrices over $\mathcal{W}$ by

$$\tau(W) := \frac{1}{n}\operatorname{trace}(\tau^{(n)}(W)) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\tau(W_{ii}), \quad W = [W_{ii}] \in \mathcal{W}^{n\times n},\ n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.7}$$

Indeed, given $W = [W_{ii}] \in \mathcal{W}^{s\times s}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(W^{(m)}) = \frac{1}{sm}\operatorname{trace}\Big(\tau^{(sm)}(W^{(m)})\Big) &= \frac{1}{sm}\sum_{i=1}^{sm}\tau([W^{(m)}]_{ii})\\ &= \frac{1}{sm}\cdot m\sum_{i=1}^{s}\tau(W_{ii}) = \tau(W).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be a real operator space and $F\colon \Omega \to \mathcal{Z}_{\rm nc}$ a nc function. We will say that $(X^0, Y^0) \in \Omega$ is a *point of constrained (local) maximum for* g if $g(X^0, Y^0) \ge g(X, Y)$ for every (X, Y) from some nc ball $B_{\rm nc}((X^0, Y^0), \epsilon) \subseteq \Omega$ subject to the constraint $F(X, Y) = 0$.

Suppose $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $(X^0, Y^0) \in \Omega_s$ is a point of constrained maximum for g, and $g|_{\Omega_{sm}}$ is G-differentiable at $(X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)})$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We also assume that F satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 for the point (X^0, Y^0). Then by Theorem 1.3 there exist $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that $B(X^{0(m)}, \alpha) \times B(Y^{0(m)}, \beta) \subseteq \Omega_{sm}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a uniformly analytic nc function $f\colon B_{\rm nc}(X^0, \alpha) \to B_{\rm nc}(Y^0, \beta)$ so that $F(X, Y) = 0$ if and only if $Y = f(X)$. Then X^0 is a point of unconstrained local maximum of the function $\Phi\colon B_{\rm nc}(X^0, \alpha) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\Phi(X) = g(X, f(X)).$$

Restricting Φ to $B_{\rm nc}(X^0, \alpha)_{sm} = B(X^{0(m)}, \alpha)$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, we obtain a sequence of necessary conditions for the extremum of Φ:

$$\delta\Phi(X^{0(m)}) = 0, \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Taking into account conclusion III of Theorem 1.3, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}0 = \delta\Phi(X^{0(m)}) &= \delta^X g(X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)}) + \delta^Y g(X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)})\delta f(X^{0(m)})\\ &= \delta^X g(X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)}) - \delta^Y g(X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)})\\ &\qquad \cdot (\delta^Y F(X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)}))^{-1}\delta^X F(X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)})\\ &= \delta^X g(X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)}) - \delta^Y g(X^{0(m)}, Y^{0(m)})\Big((\delta^Y F(X^0, Y^0))^{-1}\delta^X F(X^0, Y^0)\Big)^{(m)}.\end{aligned}$$

In the special case of $g = \tau \circ G$, where $G\colon \Omega \to \mathcal{W}_{\rm nc}$ is a nc function which is G-differentiable at (X^0, Y^0) and τ is a normalized trace on $\mathcal{W}_{\rm nc}$, we have, for every

$H=[H_{ii}]\in(\mathcal{X}^{s\times s})^{m\times m}\cong\mathcal{X}^{sm\times sm}$, that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^X g(X^{0(m)},Y^{0(m)})(H)&=\tau(\delta^X G(X^{0(m)},Y^{0(m)})(H))=\tau(\delta^X G(X^0,Y^0)^{(m)}(H))\\&=\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m\tau(\delta^X G(X^0,Y^0)(H_{ii}))=\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m\delta^X g(X^0,Y^0)(H_{ii}),\end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $H=[H_{ii}]\in(\mathcal{Y}^{s\times s})^{m\times m}\cong\mathcal{Y}^{sm\times sm}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^Y g(X^{0(m)},Y^{0(m)})(H)&=\tau(\delta^Y G(X^{0(m)},Y^{0(m)})(H))=\tau(\delta^Y G(X^0,Y^0)^{(m)}(H))\\&=\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m\tau(\delta^Y G(X^0,Y^0)(H_{ii}))=\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m\delta^Y g(X^0,Y^0)(H_{ii}).\end{aligned}$$

Then it follows that, in this special case, the sequence of the necessary conditions for the extremum above, with $m=1,2,\dots$, is equivalent to the single necessary condition for $m=1$.

We now summarize the discussion above in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $s\in\mathbb{N}$, let $(X^0,Y^0)\in\Omega_s$ be a point of constrained maximum for a function $g\colon\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfying* (3.5). *If the nc function $F\colon\Omega\to\mathcal{Z}_{\rm nc}$ that determines the constraint satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 for the point (X^0,Y^0) and $g|_{\Omega_{sm}}$ is G-differentiable at $(X^{0(m)},Y^{0(m)})$ for every $m\in\mathbb{N}$, then*

(3.8)
$$\delta^X g(X^{0(m)},Y^{0(m)})=\delta^Y g(X^{0(m)},Y^{0(m)})\Big((\delta^Y F(X^0,Y^0))^{-1}\delta^X F(X^0,Y^0)\Big)^{(m)},\qquad m\in\mathbb{N}.$$

If, in addition, $g=\tau\circ G$, where $G\colon\Omega\to\mathcal{W}_{\rm nc}$ is a nc function which is G-differentiable at (X^0,Y^0) and τ is a normalized trace on $\mathcal{W}_{\rm nc}$, then the sequence of equations (3.8) *is equivalent to the single equation*

$$\delta^X g(X^0,Y^0)=\delta^Y g(X^0,Y^0)(\delta^Y F(X^0,Y^0))^{-1}\delta^X F(X^0,Y^0).\tag{3.9}$$

We note that, in the special case of $g=\tau\circ G$ that we singled out in Theorem 3.2, one can restrict the search for a point of constrained maximum to the *critical points*, i.e., those points (X^0,Y^0) which solve (3.9) together with $F(X^0,Y^0)=0$.

We now stick to the special case of $g=\tau\circ G$ above and assume that $\mathcal{X}=\mathbb{R}^a$, $\mathcal{Y}=\mathcal{Z}=\mathbb{R}^b$, and $\mathcal{W}$ is an arbitrary real operator space. We will show that the critical points in this case can be found by the method of Lagrange multipliers.

We first consider the case where a point of constrained maximum is scalar, i.e., $s=1$ and

$$(x^0,y^0)=(x_1^0,\dots,x_a^0,y_1^0,\dots,y_b^0)\in\Omega_1\subseteq\mathbb{R}^{a+b}.$$

Then $\delta^Y F(x^0,y^0)$ can be identified with the invertible matrix $[\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial y_j}(x^0,y^0)]\in\mathbb{R}^{b\times b}$, and (3.9) is equivalent to the equations

(3.10)
$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(x^0,y^0)=\sum_{j=1}^b\sum_{k=1}^b\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_j}(x^0,y^0)\Big((\delta^Y F(x^0,y^0))^{-1}\Big)_{jk}\frac{\partial F_k}{\partial x_i}(x^0,y^0),\quad i=1,\dots,a.$$

Setting

$$\lambda_k=-\sum_{j=1}^b\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_j}(x^0,y^0)\Big((\delta^Y F(x^0,y^0))^{-1}\Big)_{jk},\quad k=1,\dots,b,$$

we can rewrite (3.10) as

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(x^0,y^0)+\sum_{k=1}^{b}\lambda_k\frac{\partial F_k}{\partial x_i}(x^0,y^0)=0,\quad i=1,\dots,a.$$

On the other hand, for $i=1,\dots,b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i}(x^0,y^0)+\sum_{k=1}^{b}\lambda_k\frac{\partial F_k}{\partial y_i}(x^0,y^0)\\ &\quad=\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i}(x^0,y^0)-\sum_{j=1}^{b}\sum_{k=1}^{b}\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_j}(x^0,y^0)\Big((\delta^Y F(x^0,y^0))^{-1}\Big)_{jk}\frac{\partial F_k}{\partial y_i}(x^0,y^0)\\ &\qquad=\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i}(x^0,y^0)-\sum_{j=1}^{b}\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_j}(x^0,y^0)\delta_{ij}=0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the full set of $a+2b$ equations for a constrained maximum, with $a+2b$ unknowns $x_1^0,\,\dots,\,x_a^0,\,y_1^0,\,\dots,\,y_b^0,\,\lambda_1,\,\dots,\,\lambda_k$:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(x^0,y^0)+\sum_{k=1}^{b}\lambda_k\frac{\partial F_k}{\partial x_i}(x^0,y^0)&=0,\quad i=1,\dots,a,\\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_j}(x^0,y^0)+\sum_{k=1}^{b}\lambda_k\frac{\partial F_k}{\partial y_j}(x^0,y^0)&=0,\quad j=1,\dots,b,\\ F_j(x^0,y^0)&=0,\quad j=1,\dots,b.\end{aligned}$$

In the case of a constrained maximum at $(X^0,Y^0)\in\Omega_s$ with $s>1$, a similar calculation gives the equations with matrices $\Lambda_k\in\mathbb{R}^{s\times s}$, $k=1,\dots,b$, and $s\times s$ matrix values of $F_k(X^0,Y^0)$ (i.e., $(a+2b)s^2$ equations with $(a+2b)s^2$ unknowns):

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial g}{\partial (X_i)_{\alpha\beta}}(X^0,Y^0)+\sum_{k=1}^{b}\operatorname{trace}\Big(\Lambda_k\frac{\partial F_k}{\partial (X_i)_{\alpha\beta}}(X^0,Y^0)\Big)&=0,\\ i=1,\dots,a,\ \alpha,\beta&=1,\dots,s,\\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial (Y_j)_{\alpha\beta}}(X^0,Y^0)+\sum_{k=1}^{b}\operatorname{trace}\Big(\Lambda_k\frac{\partial F_k}{\partial (Y_j)_{\alpha\beta}}(X^0,Y^0)\Big)&=0,\\ j=1,\dots,b,\ \alpha,\beta&=1,\dots,s,\\ (F_j(X^0,Y^0))_{\alpha\beta}=0,\quad j=1,\dots,b,\ \alpha,\beta&=1,\dots,s.\end{aligned}$$

In general, the search for a point of constrained maximum for g requires solving these equations for all matrix sizes $s\in\mathbb{N}$. Thus, for a problem at hand, an additional insight would be valuable in order to restrict the search to certain matrix sizes.

References

[1] G. Abduvalieva and D. S. Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi, Fixed point theorems for noncommutative functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 401 (2013), no. 1, 436–446.

[2] J. Agler and J. E. McCarthy, Global holomorphic functions in several non-commuting variables, *Canadian J. Math.* 67 (2015), no. 2, 241–285.

[3] J. Agler and J. E. McCarthy, The implicit function theorem and free algebraic sets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.

[4] J. Agler and N. J. Young, Symmetric functions of two noncommuting variables, J. Functional Analysis 266 (2014), pp. 5709–5732.

[5] J. Dieudonné, Foundations of modern analysis, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. X, Academic Press, New York–London 1960, xiv+361 pp.

[6] E. G. Effros and Zh.-J. Ruan, Operator spaces, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 23. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000, xvi+363 pp.

[7] J. W. Helton, Manipulating matrix inequalities automatically. Mathematical systems theory in biology, communications, computation, and finance (Notre Dame, IN, 2002), 237–256, IMA Vol. Math. Appl., 134, Springer, New York, 2003.

[8] J. W. Helton, I. Klep, and S. A. McCullough, Proper analytic free maps. *J. Funct. Anal.* 260 (2011), no. 5, 1476–1490.

[9] J. W. Helton, I. Klep, and S. A. McCullough, Analytic mappings between noncommutative pencil balls, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 376 (2011), no. 2, 407–428.

[10] J. W. Helton and S. A. McCullough, Every convex free basic semi-algebraic set has an LMI representation, *Ann. of Math.* 176 (2012), no. 2, 979-1013

[11] J. W. Helton and M. Putinar, Positive polynomials in scalar and matrix variables, the spectral theorem, and optimization, Operator theory, structured matrices, and dilations, 229–306, Theta Ser. Adv. Math., 7, Theta, Bucharest, 2007.

[12] D. S. Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi and V. Vinnikov, Noncommutative rational functions, their difference-differential calculus and realizations, *Multidimens. Syst. Signal Process.* 23 (2012), no. 1–2, 49–77.

[13] D. S. Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi and V. Vinnikov, Foundations of Free Non-commutative Function Theory, Math Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 199, AMS, 2014, 183 pp.

[14] D. S. Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi and V. Vinnikov, Singularities of rational functions and minimal factorizations: the noncommutative and the commutative setting, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 430 (2009), no. 4, 869–889.

[15] L.B. Kantorovich and G.P. Akilov, Functional Analysis, 2nd ed., Nauka, Moscow, 1977.

[16] P. Muhly and B. Solel, Progress in noncommutative function theory, *Science China Mathematics* 54 (2011), no. 11, 2275–2294.

[17] J. E. Pascoe, The inverse function theorem and the Jacobian conjecture for free analysis, *Math. Z.* 278 (2014), 987–994.

[18] V. Paulsen. Completely bounded maps and operator algebras, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics,78, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[19] G. Pisier. Introduction to operator space theory, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 294, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

[20] G. Popescu, Free holomorphic functions on the unit ball of $B(\mathcal{H})^n$, *J. Funct. Anal.* 241 (2006), no. 1, 268–333.

[21] G. Popescu, Free holomorphic functions on the unit ball of $B(\mathcal{H})^n$, II, *J. Funct. Anal.* 258 (2010), no. 5, 1513–1578.

[22] Zh. J. Ruan, On real operator spaces, International Workshop on Operator Algebra and Operator Theory (Linfen, 2001), *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* 19 (2003), no. 3, 485–496.

[23] J. L. Taylor, A general framework for a multi-operator functional calculus, *Advances in Math.* 9 (1972), 183–252.

[24] J. L. Taylor, Functions of several noncommuting variables, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.,* 79 (1973), 1–34.

[25] D. Voiculescu, Free analysis questions, I. Duality transform for the coalgebra of $\partial_{X:B}$, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 16 (2004), 793–822.

[26] D.-V. Voiculescu, Free analysis questions II: the Grassmannian completion and the series expansions at the origin, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 645 (2010), 155–236.

Department of Mathematics, Drexel University, 3141 Chestnut St., Philadelphia, PA, 19104

E-mail address: `gka26@drexel.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Drexel University, 3141 Chestnut St., Philadelphia, PA, 19104

E-mail address: `dmitryk@math.drexel.edu`